ORIGINAL 87870/

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JERRY MASON,

Petitioner

Civil No. 1:00-CV-1490

v.

(Judge Rambo)

ROBERT W. MEYERS,

Superintendent,

Respondent

(Magistrate Judge Smyser)

FILED HARRISBURG

AUG 7 2001

MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLER

EXHIBITS TO BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

AND NOW comes the petitioner, Jerry Mason, by his attorney Daniel I.

Siegel of the Federal Public Defender's Office, and files these Exhibits to Brief in

Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

Date: Aug. 7, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel I. Siegel, Esquire
Asst. Federal Public Defender
100 Chestnut Street, Suite 306

Harrisburg, PA 17101 Attorney for Jerry Mason Attorney ID # 38910

EXHIBIT - 1

Will not filmed

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
OF LUZERNE COUNTY
PENNSYLVANIA

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, : CRIMINAL ACTION

Plaintiff,

vs.

JERRY MASON,

Defendant.

: No. 2015 of 1987

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE GIFFORD S. CAPPELLINI, J.

Luzerne County Courthouse

Courtroom Number 6

200 North River Street

Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania 18711-1001

Wednesday, August 11, 1993, Commencing at 10:00 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

MARY ANN MCGRANE, ESQ.
On behalf of the Commonwealth

DEMETRIUS W. FANNICK, ESQ., Conflict Counsel
On behalf of the Defendant

93 AUG 23 PM 2: 41

THUGO SHARINGO CRIMINA THUGO SHARINGO

THE COURT: Ready to proceed?

MR. FANNICK: Yes, we are, Your Honor.

MS. McGRANE: Commonwealth versus Jerry Mason.

Case number 2015 of 1987. We're here on a petition for

Post-conviction Collateral Relief hearing.

MR. FANNICK: Perhaps by way of background, for the record, Mr. Mason filed his PCCR petition during May of this year. Filed to information number 2015 of 1987. It was filed pro se.

When the Court reviewed the petition, I was appointed in my capacity as conflict counsel to represent Mr. Mason relative to these proceedings. The hearing was originally scheduled for June 17th. It was continued because of some confusion on my part. It was rescheduled at my request by the Court to today's date.

Procedurally, Mr. Mason was convicted following a jury trial during March 1988 of rape, kidnapping and other related charges. He was sentenced on November 8th 1989, basically, to a combined sentence of 14 years to 2 years.

The PCCRA petition, as I said, was filed by Mr.

Mason, and that's the reason we're here. It appears to summarize the petition, before we proceed with testimony from Mr. Mason, that there are basically two, perhaps three, areas that he would like raised at these

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First has to deal with whether or not proceedings. there was proper investigation done by his attorneys or And he will address that issue through investigators. his testimony. Secondly, which perhaps is related to the first, is whether or not he was provided effective assistance of counsel. And I believe the major area there, as will be developed through the testimony, is that there was -- he's alleging there was a conflict of interest between Mr. Marsilio and Attorney Murtha, in that they had at one time worked for both the public defender and the district attorney offices. Lastly, Your Honor, and I'm not sure if the Court will consider this type of evidence in this type of proceeding, but Mr. Mason is prepared to testify, perhaps will address it during the course of the testimony, as to events which would shed light on his character and would basically have been issues had any type of sentencing proceeding or modification proceeding, which he had both, but events and situations which he has done and accomplished since being sentenced and since being incarcerated. There are, I believe, witnesses who would also offer testimony to that effect. In order to proceed with that type of testimony, I believe I would have to move to perhaps amend the PCCRA petition by way of -- it's almost a motion to modify a sentence nunc pro tunc. And, again,

MASON-DIRECT-FANNICK we will attempt, through testimony, to offer that type of 1 evidence and the Court will have to rule on that at that 2 time. With that in mind, Your Honor I would call Mr. 5 Mason as a witness. 6 7 JERRY MASON, called as a witness, being duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. FANNICK: Mr. Mason, would you state your name and age for the 12 13 record. 14 Jerry Mason. 15 And you are the petitioner in the PCCRA petition which we are now proceeding on? 16 17 Α Yes. And where are you presently incarcerated? 18 Rockview State Prison. 19 20 And how long have you been incarcerated? Almost six years now. 21 22 Q You alleged in the petition one area, which I've already represented to the Court, regarding the alleged lack 23 of investigative work done by counsel or investigators

relative to your defense. And I want to confine this for

simplicity reasons to two specific areas: That being a time frame stemming from the time of your arrest, or the date that you were first appointed counsel, up until the time that the trial began as being the first frame of reference. And then the time period from when the trial commenced until the sentencing modification was over as the second time frame. And as far as this first time frame is concerned, and that is the pretrial stages of the proceeding, I would ask you to specify for the record whether or not any requests were made, and to identify who they were made to, whether they be your lawyer or an investigator directly, regarding any fact witness or issue regarding this case.

A Okay. Shortly after I was arrested I was still in the county prison. I had spoken to Thomas Marsilio, he was my attorney at that time, and I asked if he had an investigator and he said, "yes, I did." And I don't remember his name. It was the investigator from the Public Defender's Office. And I had asked if he would go to a certain address and please check with that individual as to my whereabouts that night. And also, if you could --

Q Let's take them one at a time for simplicity reasons. Did you specify to Mr. Marsilio the particular identity of the individual and the particular address that you wanted investigated?

A Yes, I did.

MASON-DIRECT-FANNICK Could you tell us that name and that address? . 1 That was Timmy Reilly and it was Berwick Street, White 2 Haven. 3 And the purpose for that was you considered that a potential alibi defense for these charges? 5 Yes. 6 Q And was that also told to Mr. Marsilio? 7 Yes. Α 8 Regarding that particular request, do you know or 9 Q 10 were you told whether or not Mr. Reilly was ever contacted? During the trial they said that the investigator 11 Α had testified that he had contact with him. 12 But he had 13 contact with that home and was speaking to his mother. 14 during that conversation he talked to his mother about something else concerning her son and had forgotten to talk to 15 16 him concerning my case. And they hung up the phone and he was never able to get in touch with him again. 17 Do you know if there were any other efforts, other 18 than that one contact, to locate Mr. Reilly? 19 20 Judge Cappellini ordered the District Attorney's Office to subpoena him over the weekend and he was unable --21 22 on a short notice -- to contact him. He works away. He works 23 out on the interstates and he wasn't at home at that 24 particular time. 25 Q Were there any other issues or facts or witnesses

Base 1:00-cv-01490-SHR — Document 43 — Filed 08/07/2001 — Page 9 of 48 —

MASON-DIRECT-FANNICK

which you asked your attorneys or the investigators to investigate?

A Yes. To see if they could retrace the steps that was given to the state police where this incident was supposed to have happened that night to see if they could find the location. And that was never done at all as far as I know.

Q Anything else?

A No, that was it.

9.

Q Now, getting into the second area, then, or second time frame, that being either during the course of trial or following the trial as far as obtaining or interviewing any particular witnesses to present to the Court during the sentencing proceeding, who was representing you at that time?

A During sentencing?

Q Well, first of all, during the trial it was Mr. Marsilio?

A Mr. Marsilio represented me first.

Q And during the sentencing, the post-verdict and sentencing proceedings?

A Well, post-verdict it was Virginia Murtha, which she had originally prosecuted me through the preliminary hearing and so forth. And then Mr. Marsilio had gone -- before sentencir he had gone to the District Attorney's Office and Virginia Murtha had gone from the District Attorney's Office to the Public Defenders' Office and had become my attorney.

- 5

Q We'll get into that issue in a few minutes. My question is: Was there anything that you had asked any of your counsel to do by way of investigating any fact or obtaining any witnesses to either present in your defense at trial, other than Mr. Reilly, or at the sentencing proceeding, by way of offering any type of mitigating evidence or circumstances through a witness to Judge Cappellini?

- A No. I don't think I understand your question.
- Q Did you ask any of your attorneys or any investigator to produce anyone or investigate anything that you wanted presented either during trial or to the Judge at the sentencing proceeding?
- 13 A No.
 - Q So there is no confusion, your claim then in this petition as far as the investigation is concerned, would center around Mr. Reilly and around the request to locate the crime scene?
 - A Yeah. Well, I wanted the issue addressed of Virginia
 Murtha; I wanted that addressed at my sentencing.
 - Q I understand that. Right now I'm just dealing with the claim as far as the faulty investigative work. So that issue can be defined to those two areas?
- 23 A Right.
 - Q Now as far as the conflict of interest or effectiveness of counsel claim, who was originally assigned to

MASON-DIRECT-FANNICK 10 represent you at the preliminary hearing in this matter? 1 2 Virginia Murtha. 3 To represent you? That was Thomas Marsilio. 4 And did you appear at the preliminary hearing? 5 Q Yes. 6 Α And was there in fact a preliminary hearing? 7 Q Yes. 8 Α Do you recall who represented the Commonwealth, or 9 0 the prosecution, at the preliminary hearing? 10 That was Virginia Murtha. 11 At the time of trial, you were continued to be 12 represented by Mr. Marsilio? 13 14 Α Yes, I was. 15 Q And following trial, did there come a point in time 16 when Mr. Marsilio ceased being your attorney and someone else 17 was appointed? 18 Right after the trial he was assigned to the 19 District Attorney's Office. Just hold on one second. 20 21 Q And who was appointed to represent you at that time when Mr. Marsilio left? 22 23 Α Virginia Murtha. And this was the same attorney who had represented 24 25 the Commonwealth at the preliminary hearing?

A Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

Q At any time when she was first appointed to represent you, did you object either to her or anyone as far as her representation?

A Yes.

Q To whom did you object?

A I objected to her and she said it was all right. And I wrote Judge Hourigan, at the time, and asked him, I said I didn't understand what was going on there. And asked him if he felt if that was fair. And he wrote -- he didn't write back but he set up a hearing. And he said it wasn't fair and he had taken her off my case and assigned Joseph Vullo, the conflict counsel, to my case.

- Q Do you know approximately how long Virginia Murtha represented you?
- 16 A Probably around three or four months. Maybe even a 17 little bit longer.
 - Q During the time that she represented you, did she appear with you at any proceedings?
- 20 A In another case, yes.
- Q At any proceedings regarding this case?
- 22 A No.
- Q Did she do anything on your behalf regarding this case?
- 25 A Yeah, she wrote up my appeals.

Q When you say that she wrote up your appeals, do you mean that she filed the actual post-verdict motions for you?

A Yes.

Q Did she submit a written brief in support of those?

A Yes, she did. What she did is she wrote -- drew up the appeals and she didn't submit them to the court. But what happened is Joseph Vullo became my attorney, she handed him the appeals and said that they're already done. He in turn filed them with the courts. It was within a couple day period.

Q Other than what you perceive to be the appearance of impropriety or conflict with having Attorney Murtha represent you when she had been a member of the District Attorney's Office and had in fact appeared on the DA's behalf at the preliminary hearing against you, was there anything that was either told to you directly by her or anything that you later found out which gives rise to more than that appearance of a conflict? In other words, did she at any time say anything to you which you found to be not in your best interest in representing you?

A Not to me directly. But at the preliminary hearing she had made comments concerning me and my character, whatever you want to call it, that would show that she in fact had developed an opinion that I was guilty. And I don't see how she could have changed something like that after believing

25

Α

referring to now?

William Keller.

1 THE COURT: Who?

2 | A William Keller.

Q And Mr. Keller was the prosecuting attorney at your trial?

5 A Right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now.

Q And so that the record is clear, you're now saying that after your conviction, Attorney Keller represented whom

A The executor of my mother's estate in a civil matter.

Q Were there any issues that you told Attorney Murthat you wanted raised in your post-verdict motions which we not raised?

A Yes. I wanted some of the issues that we're raising right now; I wanted them raised, including the conflict, and that was never put in the brief. I mean, I find it hard to believe that she could prosecute and then defend -- you know go against her prosecution, and I wanted that issue raised. That was never put in the brief. It was never addressed unt

I also wanted the location of the incident, I wanted the addressed. I wanted the alibi witness, I wanted that addressed also. I had asked if that could be and they weren addressed.

Q Now, the third area which I know you want to address, has to deal with your character or things that you may have accomplished, and I believe, and correct me if I'm

wrong, since your time of incarceration, is that correct?

2 A Yes.

К

MS. McGRANE: Your Honor, I would object to that,

THE COURT: It's going to be sustained. I don't think it's appropriate here. But I will tell you this, I have letters from several people, I will consider them in the overall picture, but not on the basis of what we're discussing. I do that on the basis that people have taken their time to send these letters to me that I have, and I have been over them. And I'm not going to ignore them, but they're not proper in this proceeding. Your objection is sustained.

Q Mr. Mason, is there anything else that you would want to testify to today regarding the issues that have been outlined to the Court?

A Yes. I had asked all along that the sentence that I was given be addressed. And I thought the sentence was lengthy. And I'm not asking for the sentence to be taken away, I was asking for mercy of the Court to lower that sentence to a reasonable sentence where there's light -- you know, have some kind of light in the tunnel here, and I could prepare for society and programing, and so on and so forth, while I'm in prison.

Q Just one last question.

THE COURT: Let's address that. I don't want him just hanging with that when he made that statement. I think that that should be addressed.

Q Other than evidence or testimony regarding events that would have come after you were sentenced, which are not relevant to this particular proceeding, is there any evidence or was there any evidence, whether it goes to your character, reputation or anything, that you may have accomplished in life, which was not made available to Judge Cappellini either at the time of your sentence or at the time of the modification proceeding?

A Yeah. I don't feel my character was addressed in its entirety at the time.

THE COURT: Your what? I didn't hear.

A I don't feel that my character was addressed in its entirety at the time. I feel if it was, I believe I would have gotten a sentence, but I believe it would have been something a little bit more reasonable if everything had been taken into consideration at the time.

Q I believe I've asked you this before, but since we're right on this issue, is there or was there any witnesses or any records which you wanted counsel to present to the Court on your behalf at the time of sentencing or at the time of the modification which you made counsel aware of which was not in fact produced?

2.

20.

23.

A No. Alibi witnesses -- not alibi witnesses, but character witnesses I had asked. Military record I wanted that in there. My standing as far as in society; I had owned a company that I built from nothing, I wanted those issues addressed and that character to be highlighted so the Judge could know who I was and what I had once done in society. To show that I wasn't a threat to society or a future threat even.

MR. FANNICK: I have no other questions, Judge.

what was raised I think should be addressed on the basis of the sentence. And so that it's clear to you, I have no jurisdiction over your sentence at this point so that you understand that. So when you're talking in terms of the sentence that you consider to be unreasonable, I cannot modify the sentence now at this point, so you understand that. Is there any question in anybody's mind?

MR. FANNICK: No, Your Honor. And I will address that with him following these proceedings as far as what perhaps he can file with the Department of Corrections, or parole board, governing early parole or whatever issues would be raised.

THE COURT: I just don't want him under the impression that something can be done here, so that you

MASON-CROSS-McGRANE understand that. And I think you're probably aware of 1 that, are you not? 2 No, I wasn't. **DEFENDANT:** 3 THE COURT: Well, you are now. 5 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. McGRANE: 8 Mr. Mason, Attorney Cowley spoke with you at length regarding her representation of you, did she not? Attorney 9 Murtha? 10 11 She had spoke to me over the prison, yes. 12 And you indicated to her that you didn't have a 13 problem with her representing you, didn't you? No, I indicated I did have a problem. 14 15 Isn't it true that Attorney Cowley only filed a 16 brief in support of the motion for a new trial and in an arrest of judgement, she didn't actually file the motion for 17 18 new trial in an arrest of judgement? 19 As far as -- the only copy I got was from Virginia Murth 20 and --For the brief? 21 Right, the brief. 22 Α Mr. Marsilio filed the post-verdict motions? 23 24 I didn't see anything from Mr. Marsilio. The only thin

I asked was Joseph Vullo if he would send me a copy of the

MASON-CROSS-McGRANE

brief and that's what was filed.

Q And as far as part of that brief, as part of the brief in support of the motion for new trial, she covered the area about whether the crime occurred in Luzerne County, isn'that right?

A Not in its entirety. You could ask me a question but unless you ask in its entirety, it isn't going anywhere. But I don't feel it was addressed properly.

Q But it was addressed?

A It doesn't matter how it was addressed, it wasn't addressed properly.

Q And Mr. Marsilio represented you from the time of the preliminary hearing to the time post-verdict motions were filed?

A Until the time of the end of the trial, that was the lastime I had known.

Q And Mr. Marsilio filed request for discovery, bill of particulars, pretrial motions, an alibi --

A You see, I've tried -- attempted through each attorney for five and a half years now to obtain my transcripts so I could be better prepared when I come before you right now for your questions. For five and a half years I've been unable to get my transcripts from anyone. And each attorney I've written letters to and have asked personally for those transcripts. So I have no idea of any of the questions you're

25

And in your petition you allege that there was a

failure of communication by your attorneys?

MASON-CROSS-McGRANE

A Right.

Q Which attorneys would they have been?

A Virginia Murtha, Thomas Marsilio and Joseph Vullo.

Q Isn't it true that Attorney Marsilio accepted collect calls from you from the prison?

A Yes, he did. I'm not saying he didn't talk to me, I'm not saying that. He did accept my collect phone calls. I'm saying I requested that something be done as far as the investigation. It was never done. I believe if you check in the trial transcripts you'll find that even on testimony from the investigator, he failed to speak to those people that I had asked him to speak with. And also, retrace the steps of that crime.

Q And the only person you're referring to is Tim Reilly?

A Right. Joseph Vullo also had — from the White Haven Police Department, one of the policemen had information concerning the incident that night and the crime, and he tried to get in touch with Joseph Vullo, and Joseph Vullo missed the appointments. The man drove up here and everything. He work in the comm. center now but he failed to get that information off of him. He had quite a bit of information that he wanted to discuss with Joseph Vullo.

Q Attorney Vullo represented you from May 17th of 1989?

MASON-CROSS-McGRANE

1 | A Yeah.

Q And he represented you at the time of sentencing?

A Right.

Q And could you tell the Court how your allegation that your attorneys failed -- or the investigators failed to retrace your steps of the police to locate the crime scene prejudiced you?

A Yes. Well, I had hoped to find that crime scene they would have been able to uncover evidence, offer some type of proof as to my innocence.

Q Are you aware of any evidence that would have been found there?

A No, that's why I wanted to find the spot. And if they could find the spot, they could find something, possibly, that would possibly prove my innocence. And it wasn't done at all.

Q And you mentioned on direct examination that

Attorney Cowley represented the executor of your mother's estate in a civil matter?

A Right.

Q Could you tell me how that affected or prejudiced you in this case?

A Well, my mother's estate had been opened since 1985, and it just seemed kind of strange that after I was sentenced that he would take the case.

Q But that didn't have any effect on --

A Whether he had planned it that way, I have no idea. I can't say so. I wouldn't even lead in that direction. I just thought the issue should be brought up. I didn't feel it was fair. I didn't feel it was ethical. But I couldn't make an accusation, anything other than that.

Q And just so we're clear, the only thing that
Attorney Cowley represented you on was the brief in support of
post-verdict motions, and right after that, Attorney Vullo was
appointed?

A Right.

Q And you made reference to evidence not available for the sentencing, character evidence. What exactly were you referring to?

A Well, when they had come over to get the PC -- or the pre-sentence report from me, I had mentioned things in there, just like my attorney there, that I wanted addressed. And character witnesses. And that's not going to do any good. It's not necessary for them to be there. And no one had come to my sentencing. I was there alone. There was nothing there to address my character.

MS. McGRANE: I have nothing further, Your Honor.

MR. FANNICK: No redirect, Your Honor.

DEFENDANT: Could I just say one thing? Talked a minute ago about the White Haven Police Department, one of the officers had information.

MASON-CROSS-McGRANE Your Honor? MS. McGRANE: 1 Speak with Joseph Vullo and Joseph DEFENDANT: 2 Vullo made an appointment, failed to show for the 3 appointment. You just said that before. THE COURT: 5 I just wanted to make sure that was DEFENDANT: 6 clear. 7 THE COURT: You already said that. No, wait. 8 don't know if we're finished yet. MR. FANNICK: No redirect. 10 THE COURT: Fine. You may step down. 11 your step getting down. 12 If I could have just one minute, 13 MR. FANNICK: 14 Your Honor. THE COURT: Take your time. 15 No further testimony, Your Honor. MR. FANNICK: 16 THE COURT: Anything from the Commonwealth? 17 The Commonwealth would call Mr. 18 MS. McGRANE: Marsilio. 19 20 THOMAS MARSILIO, called as a witness on behalf of the 21 Commonwealth, being duly sworn, testified as follows: 22 23 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McGRANE: 25

7	
	MARSILIO-DIRECT-McGRANE 25
1	Q Mr. Marsilio, you represented the Defendant, Jerry
2	Mason, from the time of the preliminary hearing through
3	post-verdict motions, is that right?
4	A That's correct. I, in fact, filed the post-verdict
5	motions, which I dictated shortly after the verdict was
6	rendered.
7	Q Mr. Mason claims that no investigation work was done
8	on his behalf, particularly regarding Tim Reilly. Would you
9	address that?
10	A The notice of alibi defense includes two individuals, Tim
11 .	Reilly being one and Mike Rasavage being the other.
12	MR. FANNICK: I object to anything regarding Mr.
13	Rasavage, Your Honor. It's beyond the scope.
14	THE COURT: I think that's correct. We're just
15	talking about Mr. Reilly. He didn't raise the other one,
16	so we're not going to get into the other.
17	DEFENDANT: The point I was making, Judge, was
18	that
19	MR. FANNICK: Objection, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Your objection is sustained.
21	A Could you repeat the question.
22	Q What investigation work was done on behalf of Mr.
23	Mason?
24	A The investigators assigned to the case from the Public

Defender's Office was one Russ Thomas. I don't recall if Mr.

MARSILIO-DIRECT-McGRANE 26 Thomas spoke to Mr. Reilly directly. I spoke to Mr. Reilly's 1 mother, Margaret Reilly, who is a member of White Haven 2 Borough Council and she indicated to me --3 Objection. Hearsay. Plus it's not MR. FANNICK: relevant what his mother may have said, Your Honor. 5 Your question now is different from THE COURT: 6 the other one, as I understood. I think your question 7 that you asked him now is, what investigation was done? Я MS. McGRANE: That's correct. 9 But can't have hearsay. You can THE COURT: 10 pursue whatever you did. 11 As a result of my discussions with Mrs. Reilly, it 12 appeared to me as though Timothy Reilly would be of no help to 13 us as far as his testimony was concerned. 14 Mr. Marsilio, when did you leave the Public Q 15 Defender's Office? 16 I joined the District Attorney's Office May the 24th of 17 1988. 18 Once you began working in the District Attorney's 19 Q Office, did you have any involvement in the case against Jerry 20 Mason? 21 Absolutely none whatsoever. 22 23 Q And then Attorney Joe Vullo was appointed to

I don't recall what the order was. I thought that

24

25

represent Mr. Mason?

2

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

18

20

24

25

MARSILIO-DIRECT-McGRANE

Virginia Cowley was appointed next. I don't remember.

Q Just one more question. On the investigation, Mr.

3 Mason brought up a failure to go to the scene of the rape.

4 | Could you address his discussions with you regarding that?

A Truthfully, I don't recall that he and I ever discussed

6 that. And the state police themselves, who investigated this

7 | crime, didn't know --

MR. FANNICK: Objection.

A -- the scene of the alleged crime.

THE COURT: What's your objection? On the basis

of what they told him or on the information he gathered?

MR. FANNICK: On the basis of what they told him.

THE COURT: Whatever they told him would be

sustained, but in the investigation whatever he gathered

is not. He can testify as to what he gathered.

16 A Again, truthfully, I don't recall discussing that with

17 the Defendant. And there was nothing that I did, and I don't

know if there was anything that Mr. Thomas did, in order to

19 | locate the scene of the crime.

Q Mr. Mason claims in his petition that you failed to

21 | communicate with him. Could you tell --

22 | A Well, I think during his testimony he indicated that

23 | oftentimes I would accept collect calls from him at the

prison. I also visited him on numerous occasions at the

Luzerne County Correctional Facility.

MARSILIO-CROSS-FANNICK Your Honor, I offer the witness for MS. McGRANE: 1 cross-examination. 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. FANNICK: Mr. Marsilio, your client at the time, Mr. Mason, 6 Q during sometime prior to December 11th, 1987, told you that he 7 was not responsible for this crime, and that he had an alibi witness by the name of Tim Reilly, isn't that true? 9 In addition to the other alibi witness, Mike Rasavage. 10 And pursuant to those representations made to you, Q 11 12 you filed the notice of alibi defense on December 11th, 1987? I don't know what the date is. The document is there. 13 And you listed on that document the name of Tim 14 Q Reilly as a potential alibi witness, correct? 15 16 Yes. Between December 11th, 1987, the date that document 17 was filed, and March 28th, 1988, the time the trial started, 18 for approximately four months, did you at any time personally 19 20 speak with Mr. Tim Reilly? 21 No, I did not. I was unable to contact him. 22 Approximately how many efforts did you make to 23 contact -- you personally make to contact Mr. Tim Reilly? 24 Truthfully, I don't remember. I would recall that it ma

have been a couple of times. I think he was working out of

MARSILIO-CROSS-FANNICK 29 state at the time. 1 2 Did you have the whereabouts not only of his residence, but where he was working? 3 No, only his residence. You made a reference that you believed he was 5 working out of state at the time. Do you have any 6 recollection as to where he was working? 7 No. 8 During this four month time period, did you instruct 9 10 any investigator from your office to locate and speak with Mr. Tim Reilly personally? 11 12 I don't remember specifically, but I think that Russ 13 Thomas may have been given that responsibility. 14 0 And were you told any time during this four month 15 period by Mr. Thomas, or any other investigator in the Public 16 Defender's Office, that they had made efforts to personally 17 speak with Mr. Reilly and that they were unsuccessful? 18 I don't remember. Α 19 Q When you began your trial in March of 1988, at that 20 time did you intend to call Mr. Reilly as a witness on Mr. Mason's behalf? 21 22 To the best of my recollection, based upon what I was Α 23 told, I didn't think it would have been fruitful to call Mr. 24 Reilly.

Did you discuss with Mr. Mason whether or not Mr.

25

Q

MARSILIO-CROSS-FANNICK 30 Mason wanted Mr. Reilly subpoenaed to testify on his behalf at 1 2 trial? I don't remember. 3 At any time during the trial, or in preparation for the trial, did Mr. Mason inquire regarding your efforts to 5 interview Mr. Reilly? 6 I don't remember. 7 At any time during the trial, did Mr. Mason make any 8 request to you regarding the whereabouts of Mr. Reilly? 9 I don't remember that he did. 10 Since you were unable to personally speak with Mr. 11 Q Reilly, an individual which the Defendant told you was an 12 alibi witness, did you at any time request the court, prior to 13 or during trial, for a continuance so that you could locate or 14 attempt to locate and interview Mr. Reilly? 15 No, I didn't, because I felt that I had Mr. Rasavage to 16 testify on Mr. Mason's behalf. 17 And was this strategy of using one witness instead 18 Q of two, or one instead of the other, discussed between you and 19 Mr. Mason? 20 I don't remember if it was specifically. 21 During any time you were involved in this case, did 22 Q you personally examine any area which was identified as the 23 crime scene? 24

The only area that I examined was the mall in White

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSILIO-CROSS-FANNICK 31 The strip mall in White Haven where the crime allegedly commenced. Was there any requests through discovery, pretrial Q motion or otherwise, by you in your capacity as Mr. Mason's defense attorney to the District Attorney's Office regarding the exact whereabouts of the crime scene? I'd have to review the pretrial discovery motions that I filed because I don't recollect that right now. Do you recall making any oral request or having any discussions with either the District Attorney's Office, county detectives or state police in this matter regarding the specific area of the crime scene? Did you say conversations also? Yes. It was my recollection that in discussing this particular subject with Trooper Jim Henry, who is the prosecutor, that they in fact also did not know where the crime ultimately occurred. The crime of rape, et cetera. In your capacity as Mr. Mason's defense attorney, 0 did you ask any investigator from your office to attempt to locate the crime scene where the rape took place? No.

Q Now, there came a time following the trial and dictation of the post-verdict motions when you ceased to be Mr. Mason's attorney and a member of the Public Defender's

MARSILIO-CROSS-FANNICK 32 Office? 1 That's correct. 2 How soon after you left the Public Defender's Office 0 3 did you become affiliated with the District Attorney's Office? 4 Well, I guess that would have occurred instantaneously. 5 Upon being sworn into the District Attorney's Office, I was no 6 7 longer a member of the Public Defender's Office. 8 Was there any restrictions by way of a time frame or hiatus placed on you by the District Attorney's Office as to when you could commence your duties as a district attorney 10 because of your affiliation with the Public Defender's Office 11 12 in the past? 13 It was understood that I would not work on any cases as an assistant district attorney with which I had involvement as 14 an assistant public defender. 15 Did you have any conversations with any district 16 attorney or detective or anyone associated with the Jerry 17 18 Mason prosecution? 19 Subsequent to becoming an --20 A district attorney? 21 Not at all. If his name came up I wouldn't say a thing or would leave the room or whatever. 22 23 MR. FANNICK: No further questions. 24 MS. McGRANE: Your Honor, may I approach the

witness?

33 MARSILIO-REDIRECT-McGRANE You don't have to ask. Thank you. 1 THE COURT: 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. McGRANE: I'm showing you a copy of your request for your bill 5 of particulars. Was that filed by you? 6 7 Yes, it was. Α And in that request for bill of particulars, is the 8 Q place of the alleged offense requested? 1.0 Paragraph five (a) requests the exact place of the 11 alleged offense. I have nothing further, Your Honor. 12 MS. McGRANE: 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. FANNICK: 15 Mr. Marsilio, you requested the exact location of 16 the offense because you considered it an important element in 17 preparing Mr. Mason's defense, isn't that true? 18 I wouldn't use those words exactly. 19 Did you make the request because Mr. Mason 20 had discussions with you regarding the investigation or 21 whereabouts of the actual crime scene? 22 As I testified earlier, I don't remember discussing this 23 specific issue with the Defendant. 24

Did you make the request because it was your

_	
	MARSILIO-RECROSS-FANNICK 34
1	intention that if the crime scene was actually identified to
2	you, that either you personally or some investigator from your
3	office would in fact go there and examine the scene?
4	A If it were identified, certainly we would have done that.
5	Q Why would you have done that?
.6	A In order to become more familiar with the area. In order
7	to provide as best a defense as I could, wherein the Defendant
8	is concerned.
9	MR. FANNICK: No other questions.
10	THE COURT: Anything further?
11,	MS. McGRANE: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: You may step down.
13	MS. McGRANE: Commonwealth calls Attorney Cowley.
14	MR. MARSILIO: Judge, may I be excused?
15	THE COURT: You need Mr. Marsilio?
16	MR. FANNICK: No.
17	THE COURT: You may be excused.
18	
19	VIRGINIA MURTHA-COWLEY, called as a witness on behalf of
20	the Commonwealth, being duly sworn, testified as follows:
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. McGRANE:
24	Q Attorney Cowley, were you employed as an assistant

district attorney?

COWLEY-DIRECT-McGRANE

1 A Yes, I was.

Q And in your capacity as an assistant district

3 attorney, were you involved in the case of Commonwealth versus

4 | Jerry Mason?

7

8

10

11

12

5 A Yes. I handled the preliminary hearing and some motions

6 prior to -- or immediately after the hearing.

Q And when did you leave the DA's office?

A I left in January of 1988.

Q And then where were you employed?

A At the Public Defender's Office in Luzerne County.

Q When did you begin working there?

A I don't remember the exact date. It would have been very

13 | early on in January of 1988.

14 Q And did you, in your capacity as a public defender,

come into contact with Jerry Mason.

16 A Yes, I did.

17 O And did you have an opportunity to speak with him

18 | about this case?

19 A Yes, I did.

20 | Q And did you represent Jerry Mason?

21 A Yes, I did. What happened was, to clarify the situation

22 | for the Court, when Mr. Marsilio left the Public Defender's

23 Office and I joined the Public Defender's Office, in essence

24 took over his case load. It was easier for the chief public

25 | defender to assign my duties in that manner. And I took over

COWLEY-DIRECT-McGRANE

his case load. At that time I believe we were entering into the post trial phase of the case.

A I wrote the brief. I talked to Mr. Mason because it was admittedly a very uncomfortable situation. I had done the preliminary hearing. I spoke with Mr. Mason at length about my having done the preliminary hearing and now representing him. His concern, as he voiced to the Court, was that he was —— did not believe that I thought he was innocent. And I told Mr. Mason what I tell all my clients: It's not my job to determine their guilt or their innocence, it's my job to represent them. It's someone else's job, the jury's job, or the judge's job, to make a determination as to guilt or innocence.

I told him that I would try to represent him as best as I can. I also told him, to be frank with the Court, that I would check with my superiors to see if someone else could be assigned if it would make him feel more comfortable. However, the chief public defender made the determination that at this point I would remain on the case or at that point I would remain on the case or at that point I would remain on the case. And again, I did talk to Mr. Mason about it, and my understanding was that we had resolved the issue at least as to that case and that — the post-trial motions. We had discussed it at length and that it had been resolved. And I had indicated to him there's no knowledge that I have that

COWLEY-DIRECT-MCGRANE 37 he didn't already have. Having represented the Commonwealth 1 at the preliminary hearing, he already knew everything that I 2 I didn't know anything more than that. I wasn't 3 holding anything back from him and I felt that technically 4 there was no conflict and I was able to do it. However, 5 admittedly it was an uncomfortable situation. 6 7 Q Did your work in the DA's office in any way 8 adversely affect your representation of Mr. Mason for the brief? 9 10 No. 11 0 And did he ever indicate that he did not want you to file that brief on his behalf? 12 13 Α No. 14 Q Did you have an opportunity to adequately discuss the matters in the brief with Mr. Mason? 15 16 Α Yes. And the matters that you discussed were in fact 17 18 included in the brief? 19 Α Yes. 20 I have nothing further, Your Honor. MS. McGRANE: 21 THE COURT: Cross. 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FANNICK: 23 24 First, I apologize, I referred to you earlier as Q

Attorney Murtha. And I only did that because that's how my

COWLEY-CROSS-FANNICK client knows you? 1 That's fine. 2 I'm just confused, and for clarification purposes, 3 who filed the petition testified to by Mr. Mason with Judge Hourigan regarding the conflict? Do you know? 5 I really don't remember. I remember after Mr. Mason 6 mentioned it in court I recalled the hearing but I really 7 don't remember who filed it. 8 Do you recall if the hearing, or whatever proceeding 9 there was before Judge Hourigan, occurred before or after the 10 brief which you have prepared was filed? 11 I think it was after. I think, I'm not sure. 12 And it was decided at that proceeding that the 13 14 better course of proceeding in the matter was to assign a conflict counsel to represent Mr. Mason? 15 Yes. 16 And from that point you had no further contact with 17 18 Mr. Mason regarding the case? 19 Α No. Did the information you obtained regarding this 20 matter as a member of the District Attorney's Office in any w 21 affect your representation of Mr. Mason as his 22 defense lawyer? 23 In fact, it gave me a very clear understanding of 24

I think I knew the case more than I probably woul

COWLEY-CROSS-FANNICK 1 have if I just assumed it without knowing anything prior about it. 2 3 Q Did you in any way participate in the preparation of the Mason case for the jury trial during March and early April 5 of 1988? No. 6 7 Just so the record is straight, I'm asking that Q question, whether you participated as a member of the district 8 9 attorney staff, because you were still employed by the office 10 at that time, correct? 11 No, I was not. January --Α 12 No, I'm saying March 28th through April 4th, the 13 time that Mr. Mason's jury trial took place? 14 Α Was that in 1988? 15 Yes. Q 16 I believe I was A public defender at that time. January of 1988 -- I was a DA from '86 and '87. 17 In January of '88 I became a public defender. 18 19 But Mr. Marsilio was still representing Mr. Mason at 20 Were you both in the same office at the same time? 21 I don't recall ever having any discussion -- I really 22 don't remember how, because I was surprised when Mr. Marsilio said it was May of '88 that he changed offices. 23 I really

don't recall that. In my capacity as a public defender we

were both part-time. I had a totally different district than

24

25

COLLOQUY 40 Mr. Marsilio did, so I really didn't see him. 1 2 MR. FANNICK: No other questions. 3 THE COURT: Anything further? 4 MS. McGRANE: I have no further questions. 5 THE COURT: You may step down. Watch your step 6 getting down. 7 Your Honor, may we approach? MS. McGRANE: May I be excused? 8 MS. COWLEY: You need Ms. Cowley? THE COURT: 9 10 MR. FANNICK: No. THE COURT: Fine. 11 12 (Whereupon, a discussion took place which was off the 13 record.) 14 15 THE COURT: Anything further from the 16 17 Commonwealth? 18 MS. McGRANE: No, Your Honor. Anything further? 19 THE COURT: 20 MR. FANNICK: No. Quite honestly, Your Honor, I think the only thing 21 22 that I would like to present is perhaps a short brief on the issue of this potential conflict based upon the 23 testimony that we've heard today. 24 25 THE COURT: How long do you want for the brief?

COLLOOUY 41 1 MR. FANNICK: Two weeks, maybe. 2 THE COURT: All right. And two weeks after you respond. 3 You may have an issue that possess a problem so we'll give you more time. When will you be ready? 5 6 MR. FANNICK: Maybe two weeks when she comes back. 7 MS. McGRANE: September 10th. THE COURT: That's fine. 8 9 Anything further? 10 MR. FANNICK: No, Your Honor. The only thing I would want to place on the record as a side note, Mr. 11 Mason indicated during his testimony that he had made 12 13 numerous requests from all sorts of attorneys representing him to obtain the transcript. I have the 14 15 transcript in my possession now. It's my understand that 16 if I were to give it to him today, getting it into the 17 prison the proper way would be to send it to him. will represent on the record that I will send him copies. 18 The record will indicate that. 19 THE COURT: 20 You'll get a transcript of the proceedings from the 21 beginning to the end of the trial. Included in that I 22 don't know if the appellate opinions --23 MR. FANNICK: What I have, Your Honor, is the

pretrial proceeding, the trial proceeding, the sentencing

and modification hearings.

24

Exhibit 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JERRY MASON,

Petitioner : Civil No. 1:00-CV-1490

: (Judge Rambo)

ROBERT W. MEYERS,

v.

Superintendent, : (Magistrate Judge Smyser)

Respondent

STATEMENT OF RICHARD S. GARVEY

AND NOW comes Richard S. Garvey, Federal Public Defender Investigator, who swears that the following is true and correct to the best of his information and belief:

- 1. I, Richard S. Garvey, have been an investigator for the Federal Public Defender's Office for the past six years.
- 2. On May 9, 2001, I was assigned to conduct an investigation in the case of Mason v. Meyers.
- 3. On May 14, 2001, I spoke by telephone with Mr. Mark Metzo of White Haven, Pennsylvania.

- 4. I identified myself as the Federal Public Defender Investigator and explained to Mr. Metzo that I was investigating facts regarding Jerry Mason's conviction.
- 5. I asked Mr. Metzo if he had any information which pertained to the Mason prosecution.
- 6. Mr. Metzo indicated that he is currently employed by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in the Department of Forestry.
- 7. Mr. Metzo indicated that in 1987, he was employed as a part-time police officer for the White Haven Police Department.
- 8. Mr. Metzo stated to me that he was present at the White Haven Police Department on the night the assault in the above-captioned case was reported.
- 9. Mr. Metzo told me that he was present when Officer Robert Searfoss received a phone call from the woman who was reporting the assault, and that he overheard Officer Searfoss's end of the conversation.

10. Mr. Metzo told me that he heard Officer Searfoss tell the victim, "that sounds like Jerry Mason."

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1784, I affirm under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Date: 8/7/01

Richard S. Garvey

Investigator

Federal Public Defender's Office Middle District of Pennsylvania

Case 1:00-cv-01490-SHR - Document 43 - Filed 08/07/2001 - Page 48-of 48

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Daniel I. Siegel, of the Federal Public Defender's Office do hereby certify that on this date I served a copy of the foregoing **EXHIBITS TO BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS** by placing the same in the United States mail, first class, in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, addressed to the following:

Frank P. Barletta, Esquire Assistant District Attorney Luzerne County Courthouse 200 North River Street Wilkes-Barre, PA 18711

Jerry Mason Inmate No. BK-6012 SCI Rockview PO Box A Bellefonte, PA 16823

Date: Aug. 7, 2001

DANIEL I. SIEGEL, ESQUIRE Asst. Federal Public Defender Attorney for Jerry Mason